1 Maurice VerStandig, Esq. Nevada Bar No.: 15346 2 THE VERSTANDIG LAW FIRM, LLC 1452 W. Horizon Ridge Pkwy, #665 Henderson, Nevada 89012 3 Telephone: (301)444-4600 Facsimile: (301)444-4600 4 Email: mac@mbvesq.com Counsel for Ms. Sherman 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT **DISTRICT OF NEVADA** 7 ALEXANDRA SHERMAN 8 Plaintiff, 9 Case No. 2:23-cv-1411-RFB-MDC v. 10 NCSPLUS INCORPORATED 11 Defendant. 12 RESPONSE TO ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE 13 14 Comes now Alexandra Sherman ("Ms. Sherman" or the "Plaintiff"), by and through 15 undersigned counsel, and in response to this Honorable Court's Order to Show Cause, DE #8, 16 states as follows: 17 Ms. Sherman timely effectuated service of the summons and complaint herein, DE #7, 18 and undersigned counsel was shortly thereafter contacted by counsel representing the defendant 19 herein (the "Defendant"). As indicated in a Request for Clerk's Default, DE #9, filed of even date 20 herewith, various procedural discussions have since been had between counsel. 21 Insofar as "[a] default judgment is generally disfavored because '[c]ases should be decided 22 upon their merits whenever reasonably possible," LHF Prods., Inc. v. Boughton, 299 F. Supp. 23 3d 1104, 1113 (D. Nev. 2017), Ms. Sherman has previously resisted moving for entry of a clerk's 24



25

default. And, as noted in her request of even date herewith, she will not oppose vacating any ensuing default if an answer is filed in the coming week. However, she is equally cognizant that this case does need to progress, and she has thusly filed her request for such a default.

Ms. Sherman has not, however, been dilatory in her prosecution of this suit. Service was timely accomplished. Had counsel for the Defendant not made contact shortly thereafter, a default would have long ago been sought. The delays herein have accordingly not been borne of Ms. Sherman's failure to prosecute, Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b), but, rather, of the logistical realities correlative to knowing the Defendant is represented by counsel and knowing the Defendant is thusly quite likely to defend this case. This is particularly true in light of the governing ethical rules, which encourage affording opportunities to respond on the merits when it is known the other party is represented by counsel. Nev. R. Prof. Conduct 3.5A.

WHEREFORE, Ms. Sherman respectfully prays this Honorable Court discharge the Order to Show Cause and afford such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Maurice B. VerStandig
Maurice B. VerStandig, Esq.
Bar No. 15346
The VerStandig Law Firm, LLC
1452 W. Horizon Ridge Pkwy, #665
Henderson, Nevada 89012
Telephone: 301-444-4600
Facsimile: 301-444-4600
Electronic Mail: mac@mbvesq.com

Counsel for Ms. Sherman

[Certificate of Service on Following Page]

\subseteq \subseteq \subseteq \subseteq \subseteq \subseteq \subseteq \text{VerStandig}

25 RESPONSE TO ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE - 2

1 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** I hereby certify that on this 20th day of May, 2024, a copy of the foregoing was sent, via 2 US Mail, postage prepaid, to: 3 4 NCSPlus Incorporated c/o Registered Agents, Inc. 401 Ryland Street 5 Suite 200 A Reno, Nevada 89502 6 7 and 8 Chad C. Butterfield, Esq. Wilson, Elser, Moskowitz, Edelman & Dicker LLP 9 6689 Las Vegas Blvd. South, Suite 200 Las Vegas, NV 89119 10 11 /s/ Maurice B. VerStandig Maurice B. VerStandig 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 RESPONSE TO ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE - 3

